Ijtihad in the 21st Century: Renewal of Islamic Legal Thought

Dr Muhammad Hasib
Lecturer Islamic Studies, University of Gujrat
Email: Dr.mhasib@uog.edu.pk

Abstract

Ijtihad, or independent reasoning applied in Islamic jurisprudence, has been instrumental in the development of Islamic legal and ethical thought throughout the ages. Yet the growth of strict traditionalism and the prevalence of taqlid (blind following) caused a sharp decline in its value over several centuries. The current paper submits that in the 21st century plagued by global complexities, technologiocal advances, and sociopolitical change, the revival of ijtihad is not only desirable but a necessity. Based on the review of historical contexts and a critical analysis of contemporary legal requirements, this research examines the revival of Islamic legal thought and the practical issues faced by present-day Muslim jurists in the exercise of responsible ijtihad. The research focuses on the necessity of reconciling tradition and reform, offering a model for active jurisprudential practice in the contemporary Muslim world.

Keywords: Ijtihad, Islamic Jurisprudence, Fiqh Renewal, Taqlid, New Muslim Jurisprudence, Islamic Reform, Shari'ah Interpretation, Contemporary Islamic Thought, Islamic Legal Reasoning, Maqasid Al-Shariah

Introduction

Islamic legal tradition, founded on divine revelation and informed by centuries of scholastic exegesis, has never been subject to a dialectical conflict between fixture and flux. At the heart of this legal tradition is ijtihad—a synonym for autonomous juristic reasoning used to interpret the sources of Islamic law: the Qur'an and the Sunnah. Ijtihad was traditionally used as a technique for adjusting legal rulings to

new social, political, and ethical situations, so that Islamic jurisprudence (fiqh) remained current and responsive.

But with the passage of centuries, the employment of ijtihad gradually slowed down and was ultimately eclipsed by taqlid—a blind following of accepted legal interpretations. The closing of what is referred to as the "gates of ijtihad" not only ceased legal innovation but also helped to freeze Islamic jurisprudential thinking in most Muslim societies.

Muslims in the 21st century are confronted with new realities: globalization, information technology, bioethics, gender controversies, financial systems, secular government, etc. These new realities require new legal argumentation based on the ethical and methodological spirit of the Shari'ah. The call to resurrect ijtihad is not an imaginary one; it is a pragmatic imperative to make Islamic law continue to provide advice that is both genuine and dynamic.

In this essay, we analyze the historical evolution of ijtihad, its later falling out of favor, and the need to restore it to today's world. It focuses on reformist intellectuals' efforts, who mapped out the challenges facing modern mujtahids (those who apply ijtihad), and advocates a systematic method to responsible legal reform in keeping with established precepts and modern realities.

Historical Background of Ijtihad

The concept of ijtihad is rooted from the early years of Islam, its origin based on the legal and moral rulings of the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ. Although the Qur'an and Sunnah are the primary sources of Islamic law, ijtihad was developed as a method of resolving matters that are not clearly mentioned in the Qur'an and Sunnah. History records that the Prophet sanctioned the practice when he appointed Muʿādh ibn Jabal governor of Yemen, affirming his intention to use personal reasoning in case there is no clear guidance from the Qur'an or Sunnah.

During the period of the Rightly Guided Caliphs (632–661 CE), ijtihad was practiced with wise flexibility. Judicial decisions were often based on consultation (shūrā), public interest (maṣlaḥah), and analogy (qiyās). This flexible but principled lawmaking approach was the foundation of the grand juristic schools (madhāhib) of later centuries.

The formative period of Islamic legal evolution, extending from the 8th to the 10th centuries CE, saw the formal establishment of ijtihad by prominent jurists like Imām Abū Ḥanīfa, Imām Mālik, Imām al-Shāfiʿī, and Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal. These jurists articulated legal methodologies methodically, created interpretative models, and established lasting legal schools. Ijtihad was not only accepted during this time but actively fostered, as jurists grappled with complying with scripture and situational reasoning.

By the 10th century, a distinction emerged between mujtahids, qualified jurists, and muqallids, those who act in accordance with juristic authority, Theoretically, the application of ijtihad was available to anybody, but its actual exercise became progressively limited to an intellectual elite. The skills necessary to exercise ijtihad—proficiency in Arabic, understanding of Qur'anic exegesis, studies in Hadith, juristic principles (uṣūl al-fiqh), and legal maxims—were considered so rigorous that few were considered qualified.

The golden age of ijtihad period was when it best illustrated its vital role in the evolution of Islamic law. It allowed legal scholars to respond to new realities—spanning urban governance and commerce to interfaith encounters and ethical dilemmas—through a rational approach based on divine guidance.

The Waning of Ijtihad and the Emergence of Taqlid

Although at the heart of Islamic legal theory, the practice of ijtihad was gradually diminished starting in the early 10th century CE. This transformation was not the

result of a formal theological edict; it was a byproduct of a sociopolitical and intellectual evolution prioritizing legal conservatism at the expense of adaptive logic. Concerns about legal fragmentation, a craving for uniformity, and rising complexity of the jurisprudential disciplines all fostered an across-the-board dependence on taqlid—tacitly understood to be the imitation or following of established legal authorities.

Scholars and academics came to stress more and more being loyal to the classical jurisprudential schools (madhāhib), and many discouraged independent reasoning outside the traditional paradigms. With the progress of the late medieval era, a widespread perception developed that the "gates of ijtihad" had been closed, though this was more a rhetorical than legal fact. This closure metaphor was equated with institutional fixity, keeping innovation as well as intellectual exploration in check.

Several factors influenced this trend:

1. **Institutionalization of madhāhib:** With madhāhib gaining prominence in religious and judicial institutions, scholars were trained more to replicate dominant perspectives rather than create new ones.
2. **Political Dynamics:** Most Muslim societies were placed under colonial or autocratic control, which was unfavorable to legal innovation or dissidence. Consensus interpretations were typically preferred by rulers to dominate.
3. **Fear of Heresy:** As the sectarianism and heterodox movements grew, academics became more cautious and protected the tradition by holding that they are following well-known authorities.
4. **Reduction of Contextual Jurisprudence:** Jurisprudence became too textually focused, losing touch with the social realities and developing methodology that had previously defined early Islamic legal thought.

As a result, ijtihad became a philosophical concept rather than an operational process. This paralysis limited the ability of the Islamic legal tradition to progress successfully with changing social, scientific, and technological developments.

Islamic law was in a comparatively immobile condition for many centuries—respected but isolated from the demands of contemporary life.

Revival Movements and 20th-Century Thinkers

The contemporary revival of ijtihad came in the form of a reaction to the tensions of colonial imperialism, cultural dislocation, and the perceived stagnation of Islamic civilization. In the 19th and 20th centuries, Muslim reformers and thinkers started to critically evaluate the overbearing influence of taqlid, contending that the rigid legal tradition was incompatible with the challenges of modernity, secularism, and Western legal systems.

The advocates of this renaissance sought to revalidate Islam's relevance to public and private life, not by rejecting tradition, but by relearning its foundational principles—principles that affirm moral reasoning, contextual analysis, and intellectual autonomy.

1. Jamal al-Din al-Afghani (1838–1897)

Al-Afghani was among the first to emphasize the necessity of rationalism and reform in Islamic tradition of thought. Although he did not bequeath to posterity a complete system of law for ijtihad, his call for an intellectual renaissance provided a basis for later scholars.

2. Muhammad Abduh (1849–1905)

A student of al-Afghani and later Grand Mufti of Egypt, Abduh emphasized the need to revive ijtihad in an attempt to reconcile Islam with science, modernity, and democratic principles. He challenged uncritical acceptance of traditional juristic scholarship and argued that Muslim communities needed to reinterpret the Qur'an according to contemporary requirements.

3. Rashid Rida (1865–1935)

Building on the groundwork laid by Abduh, Rida created a more systematic

approach to ijtihad, encouraging a return to the original sources and the salaf (pious ancestors), while also adapting legal interpretations to modern contexts. His Tafsir al-Manar is an example of this balance between reform and tradition.

4. Muhammad Iqbal (1877–1938)

In the series of lectures brought together in The Reconstruction of Religious Thought in Islam, Iqbal argued that ijtihad is the essential basis of Islamic dynamism. He interpreted it as a religious duty (ijtihad fard) in conformity with changing moral and political conditions, particularly in the face of Western imperialism.

5. Fazlur Rahman (1919–1988)

A contemporary Pakistani thinker, Rahman liked a "double movement" strategy for ijtihad: reading Qur'anic doctrines historically and then applying them anew to contemporary circumstances. He faulted conventional jurists for legal formalism and insular thinking.

Although these philosophers occasionally differed in methodology, they all promoted the revival of ijtihad as a means of re-engaging Islamic intellectualism with the experiential realities of modern-day society. The impact of their work continues to be felt in modern Muslim thinkers who regard ijtihad as a means of reconciling tradition and reformist progressiveness.

Modern Demand for Ijtihad

The 21st century offers a vastly different world for Muslims everywhere across social, political, technological, and moral spheres. The advent of advanced bioethical issues, the development of digital technology, plural societies, gender problems, developments in artificial intelligence, global economic systems, and fields of international law have introduced questions not explicitly discussed in traditional jurisprudential studies. The new problems necessitate a new practice of

ijtihad to make Islamic law relevant, consistent, and moral in modern society. Compared to the premodern period—when legal decisions generally remained localized—todays issues are international. Muslims coexist as minorities within secular democracies, as citizens of postcolonial nation-states, and in legal systems frequently severed from classical Shariʿah paradigms. Such pluralism necessitates adaptable legal reasoning informed by both scriptural loyalty and pragmatic comprehension.

1. Science and Bioethics

Issues such as organ transplantation, cloning, in vitro fertilization, sex change, and terminal care must be regulated by ethics. Since the classical jurists were not able to anticipate these developments, ijtihad is necessary to resolve these new issues in light of the maqāṣid al-sharīʿah (the higher objectives of Islamic law).

2. Economics and Finance

Islamic economic teachings need to navigate interest-based economies, cryptocurrencies, consumer morality, and corporate accountability. While central pronouncements on ribā (usury) exist, their applicability to contemporary banking and fintech models requires interpretive nuance.

3. Citizenship and Governance

These are issues of democracy, constitutionalism, minority rights, women's political empowerment, and secular legal systems that also call for new Islamic legal thinking. How can Muslims contribute constructively to pluralist legal orders without compromising their tradition?

4. Gender and Family Law

Contemporary gender equality, marriage rights, reform in inheritance law, and family violence controversies test traditional meanings possibly wrongly used or obsolete in operation. Ijtihad permits reinterpretation under the justice and

compassion ethic foregrounded in the Qur'an.

5. Globalization and Cultural Diversity

With instant communication and global contacts becoming a common phenomenon, Muslims are faced with new legal and ethical challenges globally. Classical definitions of strict fatwas cannot meet these diversities.

Ijtihad, therefore, is not an intellectual exercise—it is a social imperative. It enables Islamic law to develop and yet remain rooted in scripture, enabling Muslims to ethically navigate the problems of the modern world.

Ijtihad of the 21st Century: Contemporary Applications

The reactivation of ijtihad in the contemporary setting demands something beyond theoretical support; it calls for substantive and systematic examination of new problems. As much as new mujtahids have to contend with complicated sociopolitical realities today, they also enjoy better access to ancillary texts, multidisciplinary knowledge, and international scholarly collaborations. The efficacy of ijtihad today hinges on its capacity to confront real problems without compromising conformity with Islamic sources and flexibility in the presence of modern realities.

1. Digital Technology and Ethics

Contemporary legal minds are increasingly examining Islamic perspectives on artificial intelligence, data privacy, internet surveillance, and moral concerns online. Questions of the admissibility of cryptocurrencies (like Bitcoin), electronic contracts, or AI-driven decision-making in muʿāmalāt (transactions) require Islamic jurists to formulate new maxims or reinterpret the existing traditional ones.

For instance, various boards of Islamic finance are deliberating on the categorization of digital tokens—as contract, asset, or currency—and the use of

qiyās and istiḥsān to issue rulings.

2. Environmental Ethics

Islamic jurisprudence is being designed to deal with ecological responsibility, pollution, water use, climate change, and sustainable development. These are currently being incorporated into maqāṣid al-sharīʿah in support of the conservation of life and resources.

Example: Modern scholars have made fatwas against overconsumption, deforestation, and cruelty to animals, in accordance with the Qur'anic concept of mīzān (balance) and human khilāfah (stewardship).

3. Islamic Finance and Contemporary Banking

Modern ijtihad is important in balancing Islamic prohibitions against ribā with the demands of global finance. Developments like murābaḥah (cost-plus financing), ijārah (leasing), and sukūk (Islamic bonds) were crafted from intellectual deliberation to channel Shari'ah-compliant alternatives.

Islamic banking in the Gulf and Malaysia is a pioneering example of systematic ijtihad, in which scholars worked together with legal advisors and economists.

4. Gender Equity and Family Law

Contemporary applications of ijtihad seek to re-examine the medieval decisions that have been more subject to patriarchal or tribal tradition than to the original scriptural intent. Scholars are currently busy re-interpreting passages concerning polygamy, inheritance, and testimony, employing contextual and linguistic methodology.

Example: Scholars like Amina Wadud reinterpret the Qur'anic verse on polygamy (4:3) in terms of justice and women's agency, demonstrating how ijtihad can operate in the interest of gender equality.

5. Minority Jurisprudence (Fiqh al-Aqalliyyāt)

Muslim minorities in non-Muslim majority countries often face special legal

challenges—from prayer times at distant latitudes to Islamic wills within secular law. Contextual ijtihad provides case-by-case decisions without disobeying the intent of Islamic law.

Example: European Islamic scholar councils issue fatwas on regular basis permitting mosque financing through non-Islamic banks with some safeguards.

Throughout these fields, ijtihad is a bridge that is required to join eternal revelation and its implementation in the here and now. It enables scholars and communities to have creative and prudent minds regarding how Islamic principles can direct behavior in new situations.

Challenges to Modern Ijtihad

The need for ijtihad in the 21st century is universally recognized; however, its implementation is confronted by numerous serious challenges, which can be articulated as internal and external. Such issues pertain to issues of epistemology, political interference, institutional constraints, and widespread public misconceptions. It is important to grasp these challenges in order to make feasible a firm and trustable renaissance of ijtihad.

1. Insufficient Availability of Competent Academics

Authentic ijtihad entails profound mastery of Qur'anic interpretation, Hadith science, Arabic language, uṣūl al-fiqh, classical juristic thought and contemporary fields of law, ethics, economics, and sociology. Most contemporary scholars are, however, either classically trained but unacquainted with issues of the modern world or modernists who are untrained in traditional method.

Neglecting cross-disciplinary education deprives jurists of the capacity to provide textually based as well as contextually appropriate judgments.

2. Fragmentation of Authority

In premodern Islam, ijtihad was customarily performed by recognized scholars in

recognized institutions. Islamic authority is extremely fragmented nowadays. There are many voices—ranging from autonomous scholars to self-appointed preachers—making competing rulings, and individuals become confused and lose confidence.

The absence of institutional agreement undermines who is entitled to exercise ijtihad in an increasingly globalized and decentralized world.

3. Political Interference

In many states where Islam is the dominant religion, religious institutions and clerics operate under state supervision. Governments may encourage or suppress certain understandings of Islam to advance their political agenda. This scenario undermines genuine juristic autonomy and negatively impacts the credibility of ijtihad.

For instance, politically motivated fatwas relating to jihad, women, or economic policy tend to be at odds with wider ethical norms of the Shariʿah.

4. Fear of Innovation (Bidʿah) and Negative Reactions

In some conservative quarters, any suggestion of revising established judgments is usually viewed with skepticism. Reformist authors are usually accused of bidʿah (unjustified innovation), which operates to discourage frank questioning and subjecting such to critical analysis.

This fear will cause a refusal to move forward, even with the presence of clear societal and ethical need for reevaluation.

5. Lack of Institutional Support

Institutions that can facilitate collective ijtihad, such as universities, Islamic councils of law, or independent ijtihad institutes, are few. Even if they exist, they are likely to be financially dependent or intellectually subordinate.

Sustainable ijtihad is based on well-financed, internationally networked, and

intellectually diverse institutions that promote creative thinking.

6. Public Misunderstanding

Most Muslims identify ijtihad with personal opinion or liberal reinterpretation with no respect for tradition. Others consider that ijtihad is tantamount to full judicial freedom. All these misunderstandings debase its scholarly credibility and result in repudiation or abuse.

Public open education about what ijtihad is and isn't will be required to rebuild trust in its method and findings.

Despite these challenges, several institutions and scholars continue to adhere to the revival and application of ijtihad in a responsible manner. Empowering them to overcome such challenges requires not only academic reform but also cultural, political, and theological resilience.

Scholars' and Institutions' Role Today

The substantial re-opening of ijtihad in the 21st century cannot be done by scholars in isolation from each other. It must be done through cooperative scholarly efforts, stable institutions, and disciplined frameworks that facilitate intellectual sobriety and moral accountability. Contemporary Islamic scholars (ʿulamāʾ, jurists, and inter-disciplinary scholars) have to work in conducive academic and religious environments that allow for critical inquiry while maintaining the authenticity of Islamic tradition.

1. Islamic Jurisprudence Councils and Research Institutions

The International Islamic Fiqh Academy (IIFA), the European Council of Fatwa and Research, and Majmaʿ al-Fiqh al-Islāmī are the best examples of collective ijtihad in practice. These councils are composed of scholars from different schools of thought and geographical locations to address modern-day issues, usually making fatwas after prolonged deliberations.

For instance, the IIFA has dealt with issues such as the giving of organs, insurance, and the status of women in contemporary society through ijtihad through consensus-based methods.

2. Universities and Seminaries

Educational institutions like Al-Azhar University in Cairo, the International Islamic University in Islamabad and Malaysia, and the Qom Seminary in Iran are instrumental in equipping scholars with the necessary competencies to engage in contemporary ijtihad. These institutions, however, find it challenging to combine traditional study programs and contemporary academic disciplines.

An effective framework necessitates a comprehensive education that encompasses both traditional Islamic disciplines and modern areas of study, including law, economics, philosophy, and political science.

3. Standalone Research Platforms

Independent research centers, NGOs, and think tanks—like the Tabah Foundation, Yaqeen Institute, and Zaytuna College—have sprouted up to create low-cost, ethically oriented scholarship on subjects like Islamic ethics, gender, politics, and technology. These are more nimble and resonate with a younger crowd.

Their function supplements that of official legal institutions by facilitating discussions that engender wider intellectual interest.

4. Online Fatwa Services and Digital Scholarship

Digital media have opened up new channels for juristic engagement. Online fatwa services, virtual lectures, and global networks of scholars provide instantaneous consultation and sharing of juristic opinions. Although this trend allows everyone access to legal knowledge, it also increases the scope for spreading misinformation or dissemination of unqualified opinions.

Institutions ought to ensure that online forums are moderated by competent scholars and peer-reviewed.

5. Interdisciplinary Debate and Collaborative Ijtihad

Contemporary ijtihad increasingly involves cooperation between Islamic jurists and specialists in non-Islamic disciplines—medicine, law, economics, environmental science, and ethics. In their absence, Islamic legal reactions can be theoretical or retrograde.

For example, *end-of-life care's bioethical ijtihad needs the services of both legal experts and healthcare providers to guarantee ethical precision and clinical applicability.*

In short, modern revival of ijtihad depends not just on the quality of individual minds but also on the rise of networks, institutions, and platforms that allow scholarship to thrive. Empowerment of scholars, institutional vision, and society's openness to equity-based revival of law are essential.

Case Studies: Ijtihad in Practice

To best illustrate the application of contemporary ijtihad in real-life situations, it is useful to examine particular case studies where Islamic scholars have applied independent reasoning to address modern-day problems. These serve to show the application of ancient principles to new problems and the fluidity of ijtihad based on both precedent and contextual method.

Case Study 1: Islamic Legal Approaches to Organ Donation

Context:

In the 20th century, as organ transplant technology advanced, Muslim communities were faced with a theological dilemma: can one take organs from a deceased or living donor to save another human being's life?

Ijtihad Answer:

Early on, scholars were split. But with due regard for maqāṣid al-sharīʿah

(Shari'ah objectives), i.e., preserving life, numerous scholars—like those in the Islamic Fiqh Academy—issued fatwas that authorized organ donation under strict ethical controls.

Legal instruments employed: Maslaḥah (public interest), istiḥsān (juristic preference), and analogy from traditional rulings on blood donation and medical treatment.

Case Study 2: Muslim Women Leading Prayers in Mixed Gender Congregations

Context:

In the West, specifically the U.S. and Canada, Muslim communities have sought to have women lead prayers among mixed genders, which has triggered world controversy.

Ijtihad Answer:

Progressive scholars argue its permissibility on the basis of reinterpretation of Hadiths and accounts of the past, with a focus on gender equality. Traditional scholars believe, however, that while women might be permitted to lead women-only prayers, leading mixed congregations is not permissible according to precedent.

Legal tension: This is an instance of the boundaries of ijtihad, where interpretation clashes with communal consensus (ijmāʿ) and settled practice (ʿamal).

Case Study 3: Cryptocurrency and Islamic Finance

Context:

The advent of electronic currencies, such as Bitcoin, has questioned their alignment with Islamic finance principles, especially in the matter of speculation (gharar) and inherent value.

Ijtihad Answer:

Some authors have permitted cryptocurrency exchange provided it avoids prohibited elements such as interest (ribā) and excessive risk. Others have been more cautious and demanded more accurate definitions of ownership and backing of assets.

Modern issues: Malaysian and UAE research councils persist in exploring crypto assets with hybrid approaches that integrate qiyās, istiṣlāḥ, and modern economic theory.

These case studies illustrate that ijtihad is not an academic indulgence but a dynamic process of interaction in jurisprudence. They also illustrate that ijtihad in the modern era has to be collective, context-based, and cautious in maximizing reform while ensuring continuity.

Conclusion

The re-emergence of ijtihad in the 21st century is not an intellectual aspiration alone—but a moral, social, and theological imperative. As the Muslim world lives through the experience of globalization, scientific progress, legal pluralism, and changing ethical concerns, dogmatic interpretations rooted in centuries-old contexts cannot respond to the requirements of today's or tomorrow's generation. The heritage of ijtihad—as a living legal analysis, ethical thinking, and contextual sensitivity—affords the Islamic tradition a way forward that is both loyal to its roots and responsive to modern human experience.

This paper has discussed the historical significance of ijtihad, its decline as a consequence of the impact of taqlid, and its revival through the efforts of the contemporary reformers and institutions. In addition, it has highlighted the importance of institutional collaboration, interdisciplinarity, and critical interpretation of tradition in the construction of a valid and authentic Islamic

jurisprudence.

But challenges are still daunting: the shortage of competent scholars, institutional limitations, political interference, and public ignorance all limit the full potential of ijtihad in the present day. To overcome such challenges, Muslim societies will need to invest in legal education reform, foster independent scholarship, and develop a culture that appreciates inquiry and responsibility as much as it respects revelation.

Briefly, ijtihad does not involve transforming the divine message but to come at that message anew in a way that is pertinent to modern society. It is a difference between maintaining legal precepts as ancient mementos and operating with them as a guiding principle. For Islamic law to be a foundation of justice, wisdom, and mercy in modern society, it is not only to be resumed but revitalized in application.

References

1. Abduh, Muhammad. 1957. *The Theology of Unity*. Translated by Ishaq Musa'ad and Kenneth Cragg. London: Allen & Unwin.
2. Afghani, Jamal al-Din. 1968. *An Islamic Response to Imperialism: Political and Religious Writings of Sayyid Jamal ad-Din "al-Afghani"*. Edited and translated by Nikki R. Keddie. Berkeley: University of California Press.
3. Al-Qaradawi, Yusuf. 1999. *Ijtihad in the Modern Age*. Cairo: Dar al-Shorouk.
4. Hallaq, Wael B. 2009. *An Introduction to Islamic Law*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
5. Iqbal, Muhammad. 1930. *The Reconstruction of Religious Thought in Islam*. Lahore: Sh. Muhammad Ashraf.
6. Kamali, Mohammad Hashim. 2003. *Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence*. 3rd ed. Cambridge: Islamic Texts Society.
7. Rahman, Fazlur. 1982. *Islam and Modernity: Transformation of an Intellectual Tradition*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
8. Rida, Muhammad Rashid. 2000. *Tafsir al-Manar*. Cairo: Dar al-Manar.
9. Vikør, Knut S. 2005. *Between God and the Sultan: A History of Islamic Law*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
10. Wadud, Amina. 1999. *Qur'an and Woman: Rereading the Sacred Text from a Woman's Perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
11. Zaman, Muhammad Qasim. 2012. *Modern Islamic Thought in a Radical Age: Religious Authority and Internal Criticism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.